

Tunagrahita Student Learning Expert System with Backward Chaining Method at YKDW 01 Tangerang School

Rachmat Hidayat Bina Sarana Informatika University Jakarta West Jakarta rachmat.rch@bsi.ac.id

Haryanto Bina Sarana Informatika University West Jakarta <u>haryanto.hyt@bsi.ac.id</u> Pipin Sapinah STMIK Nusa Mandiri Jakarta Central Jakarta pipinsapinah@gmail.com

Abstract— In general, a person's learning habits are influenced by personality variables. Not a few parents who do not understand the effective learning methods for children, parents and teachers must approach first to determine the personality and habits of children, especially children with mental retardation. The learning method given to children is very important, therefore the teaching method applied by parents at home and given by the teacher must be the same to facilitate children in understanding the lesson and not experiencing confusion because they accept different learning methods. This is very influential because there are still many students with disabilities who are still having difficulty understanding learning, especially reading, writing, and counting where learning will be very influential for the community. Based on these problems the researcher requires the application of expert systems using backward chaining to facilitate parents in providing home learning in accordance with the learning methods provided by the teacher in the school so that students are easier and faster in understanding the lesson. From the results of the Research Expert System Application the learning method provided can only be used for students with mental retardation with mild and moderate levels found in the same class, but cannot be used to a more severe level.

Keywords — Backward Chaining; Children with mental retardation; Learning Methods, expert systems

I. INTRODUCTION

According to[1] suggesting that mentally retarded children are those who have a level of intelligence far below the average of children in general, so they are not able to take part in the program at school. They need special education services. Children with mental retardation are everywhere, both in the city and in the village. They are unable to think abstract and convoluted things. Likewise in lessons such as composing, counting, and other academic subjects Every child has a different habit of learning. In general, a person's learning habits are influenced by personality variables. Not a few parents who do not understand about effective learning methods for children and get angry quickly when faced with children who are less responsive to the learning provided, therefore we need a prior understanding of the personality of a child.

According to [2] said that one characteristic of mentally retarded children is the inability to think abstractly and easily forget, therefore in teaching mathematics subject matter indirectly





at the learning stage in the abstract but must be gradual starting from concrete, semi-concrete stages and abstract. The reasoning ability of mentally retarded children is limited to concrete thinking stages. Therefore there is a high probability that teachers who teach mathematics in mentally retarded students have a specific strategy in the learning process. A teacher must have a mature plan before entering the Teaching and Learning Process (PBM), they must always have creative ideas in learning.

To find out the personality of the child, parents must approach first in order to find out the personality and habits of children, especially children with mental retardation. According to observations, the authors do not a few mentally retarded students who have difficulty in counting, their average ability to count is only up to 20. Some students find it difficult to receive instructions when asked to choose one answer from three choices. Besides that due to the limitations of the language they mastered, mentally retarded students experience difficulties in reading and writing. According to some experts, interview authors, the way of learning provided by teachers and parents must be the same so that it can facilitate children in understanding the lessons and more mature understanding of children.

According to [3] "mental retardation is a child with special needs who has intelligence below the average, which ranges between 50-70. They experience obstacles in carrying out any activities, especially in learning.

There are several types of mentally retarded children, with different characteristics and levels of obstetrics, some are mild, moderate and severe. Low-level mentally retarded children are usually difficult in abstract thinking, but they are still able to take academic lessons in both ordinary schools and special schools (SLB). But along with the increasingly difficult learning material, it is better for parents not to be too proud to put their children in Extraordinary Schools because not all children with low mental retardation can take lessons like normal children. Moderate mentally retarded children cannot learn academic subjects. Language development is very limited because the vocabulary is very lacking. They need an accompanying teacher, although they are still able to distinguish between danger and not a danger. The age of intelligence is the same

as a normal seven-year-old child. While mentally retarded children with more severe levels throughout their lives need help and help from others, so that all activities such as dressing, eating and so on must be helped. They cannot tell which danger or not. His words and sayings are very simple. His intelligence reaches as high as a normal child who is three years old.

According to [4] suggests that parents and the environment are expected to understand mentally retarded children by not fixating on developmental tasks. Development tasks are only used as triggers, parents help as optimally so that children can go beyond each period of development naturally according to their limitations.

II. RESEARCH METHODS

A. Expert System

Information system that contains the knowledge of an expert so that it can be used for consultation.

An expert system [5] is a computer program that contains knowledge from one or more human experts regarding a specific field. This type of program was first developed by researchers in artificial intelligence in the 1960s and 1970s and was applied commercially during the 1980s. The general form of expert systems is a program created based on a set of rules that analyze information (usually given by users of a system) about a class of specific problems and mathematical analysis of the problem. Depending on the design, the expert system is also able to recommend a series of user actions to be able to apply corrections.

According to Yulianti (2016: 1), the expert system is one of the fields of artificial intelligence (AI) that seeks to adopt human knowledge to computers, combine knowledge and search data to solve problems that normally require human expertise.

B. Backward Chaining Method

The backward chaining method is backward tracking which starts the reasoning from the conclusion (goal), by looking for a set of hypotheses towards facts that support a set of hypotheses.

Backward Chaining method is the opposite of forward chaining where it starts with a





hypothesis (an object) and asks for information to convince or ignore. Backward chaining inference engines are often called: "Object-Driven / Goal-Driven". The inference engine is part of an expert system that tries to use the information provided to find the appropriate object.

C. Flowchart

According to [6] suggest that, Flowcharts are graphical depictions of steps and sequence of procedures of a program. Flowcharts help analysts and programmers to solve problems into smaller segments and help in analyzing other alternatives in operation. Flowcharts usually make it easier to solve a problem, especially a problem that needs to be studied and evaluated further. Flowchart is a form of image / diagram that has a one or two-way sequential flow. Flowcharts are used to represent and design programs. Therefore the flowchart must be able to represent components in a programming language.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the results of interviews from several outstanding school teachers in Tunagrahita Tangerang it can be concluded that the learning method is a way of applying learning that is appropriate to the characteristics of each child in carrying out thinking, behaving, absorbing information, processing and understanding information and reminding it in memory. Here are some types of learning methods for children with mental retardation at the elementary school level according to their respective characteristics.

1. Children have difficulty learning to read

TABLE 1	Children	have	difficulty	learni	ing
	te	read			

toreau									
Code Method	Characteristic								
MB1	Easy to switch attention and								
	lack concentration								
	Two explanations must be								
	given								
	Weak memory and low								
	reasoning								
	Language development is								
	limited								
MB2	Can do light work								
	Able to recognize languages								

	Can respond well when given									
	a lesson									
	Nice to socialize									
MB3	Cannot store too many or difficult instructions									

Source (Tunagrahita Foundation)

2. Children learn to write slowly

 TABLE 2 Children Hard to Learn to Write

Code	Characteristic								
Method									
MB4	It's hard to hold the right pencil								
	Quitter								
	Write with intermittent writing								
	Write in no order								
MB5	Happy to draw								
	Easily bored and often goes								
	away while studying								
MB6	Can write but it is difficult to								
	copy the writing on the board								
	Difficult to distinguish letters								
	and numbers written								

Source (Tunagrahita Foundation)

3. Children have difficulty learning to count

TABLE .3 Children Difficult to Learn to Calculate

Code	Characteristic										
Method											
MB7	Difficult to communicate with										
	children										
	Difficult to capture information										
	Weak memory and low										
	reasoning										
	Cannot store too many or										
	difficult instructions										
	Emotional										
MB8	More like playing than										
	learning										
	Easily bored and often goes										
	away while studying										
	Nice to play gadget										

Source (Tunagrahita Foundation)



34



Journal Publications & Informatics Engineering Research Volume 3, Number 2, April 2019 DOI : <u>https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v3i1.10020</u> e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

A. Expert System Algorithm

Here is an algorithm from the system that the author designed



Fig .1 Expert System Algorithm

B. Expert Table

From interviews with experts, an expert table can be created which lists the learning methods and characteristics. The author found several characteristics of children and appropriate learning alternatives.

TABL	Æ 4	Study	method
------	-----	-------	--------

No	code method
1	MB1
2	MB2

3	MB3
4	MB4
5	MB5
6	MB6
7	MB7

TABLE .5 Characteristics of Students

No	Code	Characteristics
1	K01	Easy to switch attention and
		lack concentration
2	K02	Two explanations must be given
3	K03	Can do light work
4	K04	Weak memory and low
		reasoning
5	K05	Able to recognize languages
6	K06	Can respond well when given a
		lesson
7	K07	Cannot store too many or
		difficult instructions
8	K08	Language development is
		limited
9	K09	Nice to socialize
10	K10	It's hard to hold the right pencil
11	K11	Quitter
12	K12	Can write but it is difficult to
		copy the writing on the board
13	K13	Write in no order
14	K14	Happy to draw
15	K15	Difficult to distinguish letters
		and numbers written
16	K16	Write with intermittent writing
17	K17	Easily bored and often goes
		away while studying
18	K18	Difficult to communicate with
		children
19	K19	Difficult to capture information
20	K20	More like playing than learning
21	K21	Emotional
22	K22	Nice to play gadget

TABLE 6 Expert Table

type of consultation (TC)	K01	K02	K03	K04	K05	K06	K0 7	K08	K09	K10	кII	K1 2	K13	K14	K1 5	K16	K17	K18	K19	K20	K21	K22	Conclusion
TC1	1	1		1				1															MB1
TC1			1		1	1			1														MB2
TC1							٧																MB3
TC2										1	1		1			1							MB4
TC2														1			N						MB5
TC2												1			1								MB6
TC3				1			1											1	1		1		MB7
TC3																	1			1		1	MB8





Explanation of expert Table Flow Algorithms

IF Question K01 = "Is your child easy to switch attention and lack concentration?" And Answer = "Yes" THEN IF Question K02 = "Should every explanation be given two or three times an explanation should be given?" And Answer = "No" THEN IF Question K04 = "Is memory weak and reasoning low?" and Answer = "No" THEN IF Question K08 = "Is the language development limited?" and Answer = "No" So the Result is the MB01 character code

C. Expert Decision Tree

A tree is a hierarchical technique structure consisting of nodes (nodes) that store information or knowledge and branches that connect nodes. A decision tree is made to facilitate decision making. Decision diagram is a simple description of the problem and its solution.



Fig 2 Decision Tree

D. Software Requirements Analysis

1) Stages of Analysis

Admin or expert pages can access activities as follows:

A.1.Admin can log in to enter the admin page A.2.Admin or expert can add, delete and edit expert data

A.3.Admin or expert can add, delete and edit student data

A.4.Admin or expert can add, delete and edit types of consultations

A.5.Admin or expert can add, delete and edit question data

A.6.Admin or expert can add, delete and edit learning methods

A.7.Admin or expert can add, delete and edit articles

The user's page can access activities as follows: B.1. Users can fill in the login to consult

B.2. Users can see the articles on the website

B.3. Users can choose the type of consultation

B.4. Users can consult by answering several questions according to the diagnosis they wish to consult

B.5. Users can see the results of learning methods that are suitable for children

2) Use Case Diagram

a) Use Case Diagram of User Access Rights



Fig .3 User Access Rights Chart

b) Use Case Admin Access Rights Chart







Fig .4 Admin Access Rights Chart E. Design

At this stage, it will explain the database design, software architecture and user interface of the proposed system.

1) Database

Entity Relationship Diagram is a model that explains the relationship between data in a database based on basic data objects that have relationships between relations.

The following is the initial Entity Relationship Diagram that is used to obtain a minimizing database design that can accommodate data storage of the system being reviewed.



Fig .5 Entity Relationship Diagram

2) Display of Expert System Applications



Fig .6 Diagnosis of User Pages



Fig .7 Consultation on User Pages

IV. CONCLUSION

This system uses learning methods that are in accordance with the applicable curriculum based on the results of interviews that the authors examined at the YKDW 01 Tangerang Special School and the Markus Education Foundation in Tangerang.

In making this expert system there are several conclusions, including:

1. Learning methods provided by parents and teachers become the same and learning methods provided in accordance with the curriculum applied in special schools so that children do not experience confusion because they get different learning methods and make it easier for children to understand the lesson.

2. Make it easier for parents who have busy work outside and want to consult on the



37



learning methods of children with mental retardation.

3. In this system, the learning method provided is only for students with mental retardation with mild and moderate levels in the same class.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Setiawan, Dani E;Mais, "Pengaruh Tingkat Kehadiran Siswa Terhadap Efektivitas Proses Pembelajaran Siswa Kelas IV Tunagrahita Ringan dalam Kelas Reguler SD Inklusi di Kabupaten Jember," J. Spec. Educ., vol. 1, pp. 28–33, 2017.
- [2] I. Utami, dewi aninta; sujadi, "Strategi Guru dalam Membelajarkan Matemati kapada Materi Lingkaran Kepada Anak Tunagrahita (Studi Kasus pada Siswa Kelas VIII SLB Muhammadiyah Cepu)," J. Pembelajaran Mat., vol. 2, pp. 853–864, 2014.
- [3] F. Sefni, "EFEKTIFITAS METODE PROYEK PADA PEMBELAJARAN KETERAMPILAN BAGI ANAK TUNAGRAHITA SEDANG KELAS X SMALB DI YPAC SUMBAR," J. Ilm. Pendidik. KHUSUS, vol. 3, pp. 182–191, 2014.
- [4] E. Novita, "PERBEDAAN PENERIMAAN DIRI IBU YANG MEMILIKI ANAK TUNAGRAHITA DITINJAU DARI TINGKAT PENDIDIKAN DI SLB-E PTP MEDAN," *Diversita*, vol. 3, pp. 55–62, 2017.
- [5] Wikipedia, "Sistem pakar," 2019. [Online]. Available: https://id.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sistem_pakar
- [6] R. Hidayat, "Sistem Informasi Ekspedisi Barang Dengan Metode E-CRM Untuk Meningkatkan Pelayanan Pelanggan," J. SISFOTEK Glob., vol. 4, pp. 41–45, 2014.

